

# **Allozyme and morphological variability, outcrossing rate and core collection formation in lentil germplasm**

**W. Erskine <sup>1,\*</sup> and F.J. Muehlbauer** <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), P.O. Box 5466, Aleppo, Syria 2 United States Department of Agriculture - Agriculture Research Service, 215 Johnson Hall, Washington State University, Pullman WA 99164-6421, USA

Received December 28, 1990; Accepted May 16, 1991 Communicated by G.S. Khush

**Summary.** A survey of qualitative genetic variation at 3 morphological trait loci, 17 isozyme loci and a putative isozyme locus (amylase) was made for 105 lentil *(Lens culinaris* Medikus) germplasm accessions from Chile, Greece and Turkey. New alleles were found for *Lap-l, Me-2, Pgm-c, Pgm-p* and *6-Pgd-c.* The average proportion of polymorphic loci per population was 0.19, with a range of 0 to 0.42 over populations. Germplasm from Chile was equally variable to that from Greece and Turkey on the basis of individual loci and in a multilocus sense, despite its post-Columbus introduction to the New World. Evidence was found from associations between allelic states at different loci of a complex multilocus structure of lentil populations. A single multilocus genotype represented 10.2% of all plants sampled. The rate of outcrossing varied from 2.2% and 2.9% in Turkish and Greek landraces to 6.6% among Chilean populations. Using the survey data, a random sampling strategy for core collection formation was compared with two stratified sampling methods. The advantage of stratified sampling over random sampling was only significant at  $P = 0.28$ .

**Key words:** *Lens culinaris -* Isozymes - Genetic diversity - Core collection - Cross-pollination

## **Introduction**

To maintain and exploit crop germplasm resources efficiently, an understanding of the variation, its assortment between and within accessions and the population structure of the collection is required. This information dic-

tates the practical details of most aspects of genetic resources activity. Part of this activity currently receiving attention is the use of core collections to streamline the evaluation of germplasm (Frankel and Brown 1984). International germplasm collections often contain so many accessions that they are formidable to potential users. A representative sample of the collection may be easier to handle than the entire collection, for example, by a national programme or as the starting point of extensive evaluation planned for some key trait. Such a representative sample of the collection is a core collection which aims, with minimum redundancy, to include the genetic diversity in a species in a condensed (and hence manageable) assembly of accessions.

Several sampling methods to select entries for the core collection have been suggested, ranging from random sampling to stratified sampling based on known groups with sample size either constant, logarithmic or proportional to the group size (Brown 1989). But a comparison between sampling methods can only be made with information from a survey of genetic variability in germplasm. In lentil, as in most other crops, the necessary detailed information is currently lacking.

Regional groups in lentil *(Lens culinaris* Medikus) germplasm have, however, already been identified following stepwise discriminant analysis of a world collection (Erskine et al. 1989). Close resemblance was found between germplasm from climatically similar, neighbouring countries, indicating that adaptation to the ecological environment has been a major evolutionary force in cultivated lentil. These groups can form the basis of stratification of sampling for a core collection.

Although estimates of variation between and within lentil accessions have been made for quantitative traits (Erskine and Choudhary 1986), information on discretely inherited characters is required to compare different

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed

Chile Greece Greece Turkey Turkey Turkey Turkey Plant Collection site Plant Collection site Inven- Inventory no.<br>
(PI) (PI) (PI) (PI) Plant Collection site Plant Collection site Inven-<br>tory no. tory no. tory no. tory no. (PI) (PI) (PI) 299144 La Ligua, Aconcagua 209858 Athens 169517 Bornova, Izmir 175758 Kayseri 299148 Mulchen, Bio-Bio 297757 Arahova 169518 Elmali, Antalya 175759 Bozaliyan, Yozgat 299149 Matanzas, Santiago 297758 Larissa 169519 Selimiye, Antalya 176602 Partek, Tunceli 299150 Zapallar, Aconcagua 297761 Egleuvi 169523 Koycegiz, Mugla 176604 Yildizili, Sivas 299151 Longotoma, Aconcagua 297762 Heani 169527 Antalya 176607 Konya 299153 San Carlos, Nuble 297763 Lassion, Rhodopis 169531 Kirklareli 176609 Aksehir, Konya 299156 Navidad, Santiago 297764 Paleohorion, Karditsa 169534 Edirne 176610<br>299310 Quinteros, Valparaiso 297765 Korynos, Kastorias 169542 Cannakale 176986 299310 Quinteros, Valparaiso 297765 Korynos, Kastorias 169542 Cannakale 176986 Jommece, Kastamonu 299311 Curepto, Talca 297766 Lahania, Redos 169552 Bandirma 176987 Konya 299312 Licancheu, Santiago 297767 Kavakli, Redopis 169556 Gokce Viran 176988 Silivri0 Istanbul 299313 Parral, Linares 297768 Furenou, Redopis 172938 Cinar, Diyarbakir 176993<br>299314 Pupuva, Talco 297770 Pella, Giannitsa 172944 Pazarcik, Maras 176994 299314 Pupuya, Talco 297770 Pella, Giannitsa 172944 Pazareik, Maras 176994 Sarkoy, Tekirdag 299345 Palquibudis, Curico 297771 Dara 172947 Darende, Malatya 176995 YMova, Istanbul 299346 Lora, Curico 297773 St Irene, Kefallinia 172949 Malatya 177430 Kure, Ankara 297774 Oreskia, Serres 172953 Elazig 177432 Merzifan, Amasya<br>297775 Pedikovrisi, Arkadia 173718 Acimanuk, Mus 177433 Alaca, Corum 299348 Los Molles, Valparaiso 297775 Pedikovrisi, Arkadia 173718 Acimanuk, Mus 177433 Alaea, Corum 299349 Santiago 297776 Ahladini, Ilias 174238 Muradiye, Van 177437 Hinanis, Hakari 299351 Malleco 297777 Dafni, Serres 174246 Suruc, Urfa 177438 Yuksekora, Hakari 299352 Chimbarongo, Colchagua 297778 Ptolemais 174249 Gaziantep 177439 Cukurca<br>299353 Renaico, Malleco 297779 Oreccastron, Ionnion 174251 Safalar, Elazig 178928 Mardin 299353 Renaico, Malleco 297779 Oreccastron, Ionnion 174251 Safalar, Elazig 178928 Mardin 297780 Peregeniani, Ionnion 175746 Coru, Tekirdag 178931 Diyar<br>297789 Pyrgi, Kefallinias 175748 Arac, Kastamonu 178934 Kars 299355 Malloa, O'Higgins 297789 Pyrgi, Kefallinias 175748 Arac, Kastamonu 178934 Kars 299357 Rosario, O'Higgins 297790 Molle, Kefallinias 175751 Ayvacik, Canal<br>299358 Natijilla, O'Higgins 299358 Islihli, Manisa 299358 Natijilla, O'Higgins 175753 Salihli, M<br>299360 Laia, Bio-Bio 175754 Erzincan Laja, Bio-Bio 175754 Erzincan<br>299360 Cauguenes. Maule 175755 Esmail, Corum 299361 Cauquenes, Maule 175755 Ismail, Corumbus 175755 Ismail, Corumbus 175755 Ismail, Corumbus 209362 Ismail, Corumbus 200362 299362 Tijeral, Malleco<br>299363 Yumbel, Concer 299363 Yumbel, Concepcion 299364 Santa Fe, Bio-Bio 299365 Caimanes, Coquimbo<br>299373 Illanel, Coquimbo 299373 Illapel, Coquimbo<br>299374 Portezuelo, Nuble Portezuelo, Nuble

**Table** 1. Plant Inventory numbers (PI) and collection site of accessions from Chile, Greece and Turkey

sampling strategies for core collection formation. One such survey was made on a single locus, namely aspartate aminotransferase (Skibinski et al. 1984).

This study aimed to survey the variation for a much wider range of qualitative characters in a lentil collection from three countries, Chile, Greece and Turkey, so that the data generated may be used to estimate outcrossing rates and test various sampling strategies for core collection formation.

#### **Materials and methods**

A total of 105 accessions of lentil were included in the survey of genetic variability, with 32 accessions from Chile, 23 from Greece and 50 from Turkey. These countries were selected to represent two different regional groups, with germplasm from Greece and Turkey previously identified as coming from the same regional group and Chilean germplasm selected as representative of a group with a less ancient history of local adaptation (Erskine et al. 1989). Seed was supplied by the United States Department of Agriculture Western Regional Plant Introduction Station (WRPIS), Pullman, Washington. Turkish accessions were collected by Dr. J. Harlan in 1948/49; Greek accessions by Dr. D. A. Panos in 1953 and by the Institute of Fodder

Crops, Larissa, in 1963; and Chilean accessions were collected in 1964. Plant Inventory numbers (PI) and origins of the accessions are given in Table i.

Ten plants per accession were assayed for three morphological characters, each controlled by a single locus (Table 2), and for nine enzyme systems.

Samples were prepared for electrophoresis using a TRIS-HC1 grinding buffer (Soltis et al. 1983). Samples were then absorbed onto 5 mm  $\times$  12 mm Whatman No. 9 filter paper wicks, and 22 samples (including checks) were placed onto each starch gel. Samples were run both on a pH 8.1 TRIS-citrate/lithium borate gel system and on a pH 6.5 histidine gel system as described by Selander et al. (1971). Slices from the TRIS-citrate/ lithium borate gels were assayed for aspartate aminotransferase (AAT), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) and malic enzyme (ME), and left overnight to observe amylase (AMY) activity. Slices from the histidine gels were assayed for diaphorase (DIAP), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6PGD), phosphoglucomutase (PGM) and shikimic dehydrogenase (SKDH) activity.

Extracts from fresh leaf tissue were analysed for all enzyme systems except ADH, for which extracts were made from immature pods.

The assays for ADH, 6PGD and LAP were slightly different or identical to those described in Shaw and Prasad (1970). Assays for AAT, PGM and SKDH have been described by Weeden and Gottlieb (1980) and the assays for ME and DIAP have been described by Weeden (1984).

Locus symbol and name Allele Allelic proportion Proportion polymorphic population Chile Greece Turkey  $P$  Chile Greece Turkey  $P$ *gs* Gs 0.887 0.670 Epicotyl colour gs  $0.113$  0.330<br>  $vc$  0.114 0.168 *yc*  $Y_c$  0.114 0.168  $\frac{Cotyledon colour}{\text{co}y}$  yc  $\frac{0.886}{0.832}$  0.832 *scp* scp 0,886 0.822 Seed coat scp<sup>a</sup> 0.104 0.141<br>pattern scp<sup>s</sup> 0.003 0.0  $partern$   $sep^s$  0.003 0.0  $\text{scp}^{\text{m}}$  0.006 0.038 *adh* a 0.122 0,978 Alcohol dehydrogenase b  $0.878$   $0.022$ <br> $\frac{Amv}{1.0}$   $1.0$   $1.0$ *Amy + 1.0 1.0*  Amylase  $\begin{array}{cccc} - & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 4at-mb & a & 0.750 & 1.0 \end{array}$ *Aat-mb* **a** 0.750 1.0<br>Aspartate amino transferase-1 b 0.250 0.0 Aspartate amino transferase-1 b 0.250 0.0<br>
Aat-p a 0.920 0.762 *Aat-p* a 0.920 0.762 Aspartate amino transferase-2 b 0.080 0.238<br>
Aat-m a 0.736 0.329 *Aat-m* a 0.736 0.329 Aspartate amino transferase-3 b 0.264 0.671<br>  $Aat-c$  a 1.0 1.0 *Aat-e a 1.0 1.0*  Aspartate amino transferase-4 b 0.0 0.0<br>  $Dia-2$  a 0.0 0.0 *Dia-2 a 0.0 0.0*  Diaphorase-2 b 1.0 1.0<br>
Lap-1 a 0.681 0.845 *Lap-1* a 0,681 0.845 Leucine amino b 0.286 0.155<br>
peptidase-1 c 0.033 0.0 peptidase-1 c 0.033 0.0<br>  $Me-1$  a 0.089 0.195 *Me-!* a 0.089 0.195 Malic enzyme-1 b 0.911 0.805<br>  $Me-2$  a 0.850 0.996 *Me-2* a 0.850 0.996 Malic enzyme-2 b 0.038 0.0 c 0,t12 0.004 *Pgm-c* a 0.003 0.0 Phosphoglucomutase-1 b 0.997 1.0 c  $0.0$   $0.0$ <br>a  $0.029$   $0.0$ *Pgm-p* a 0.029 0.057 Phosphoglucomutase-2 b 0.377 0.392<br>c 0.554 0.551 c  $0.594$   $0.551$ <br>a  $0.793$   $0.946$ *6 Pgd-p* a 6 Phosphogluconate b 0.207 0.054 dehydrogenase-I *6 Pdg-c* a 0.006 0.0 6 Phosphogluconate b 0.994 1.0 dehydrogenase-2 % Heterozygotes 2.01 2.61 0.962 0.000 0.47 0.83 0.12 0.001  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.038 & & & (0.50) & (0.38) & (0.33) \\ 0.374 & 0.000 & 0.19 & 0.22 & 0.32 \end{array}$ 0.374 0.000 0.19 0.22 0.32 0.368  $(0.626 \hspace{1.5cm} (0.39) \hspace{1.5cm} (0.41) \hspace{1.5cm} (0.47)$ 0.793 0.000 0.75 0.61 0.46 0.410  $(1.73)$   $(0.49)$   $(0.50)$ 0.016  $0.014$ <br> $0.168$  $0.003$ 0.832<br>0.994  $0.994$   $0.372$  0 0 0.04  $*$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.006 & & & & (0) & & (0) & & (0.20) \\ 1.0 & & 0.000 & & 0.38 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$ 1.0 0.000 0,38 0 0 0.000  $(0.0)$   $(0.5)$   $(0)$   $(0)$ 0.375 0.000 0.38 0.44 0.56 0.317  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.625 & \qquad & (0.48) & (0.50) & (0.50) \\ 0.227 & \qquad & 0.011 & \qquad & 0.67 & \qquad & 0.26 & \qquad & 0.30 \end{array}$ 0,227 0,011 0.67 0.26 0.30 0,017  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.773 & & & (0.48) & (0.44) & (0.46) \\ 0.996 & & 0.366 & & 0 & 0 & 0.02 \end{array}$  $0.996$   $0.366$  0 0  $0.02$  \*  $(0,004$   $(0)$   $(0)$   $(0.14)$  $0.010$   $0.064$  0 0 0.04  $*$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.990 & & & (0) & & (0) & & (0.196) \\ 0.708 & & 0.000 & & 0.47 & & 0.39 & & 0.48 \end{array}$ 0.708 0.000 0.47 0.39 0.48 0.778 0.271 (0.50) (0.49) (0,50)  $0.020$ <br> $0.149$ 0.149 0.000 0.16 0.22 0,38 0.067  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.851 & & & & (0.36) & (0.41) & (0.49) \\ 0.940 & & 0.000 & & 0.16 & & 0.04 & & 0.12 \end{array}$ 0.940 0.000 0.16 0.04 0.12 0.427 0.028 (0.36) (0.20) (0.33) 0.032  $0.002$   $0.616$   $0.03$  0  $0.04$  \*  $0.996$  (0.17) (0) (0.20) 0.002  $0.0$   $0.000$   $0.44$   $0.44$   $0.20$   $0.0351$ <br> $0.427$   $(0.50)$   $(0.50)$   $(0.40)$  $(0.50)$   $(0.50)$   $(0.40)$ 0.573<br>0.466 0.466 0.000 0.28 0.09 0.36 0.052 0.534 (0.45) (0.28) (0,48) 0.0 0.102 0.03 0 0  $*$ 1.0  $(0.17)$   $(0)$   $(0)$ 5.94 0.0ll

Table 2. Mean allelic relative frequencies and proportion of polymorphic populations of different alleles for each country and the significance probability (P) from chi-square tests of independence for fixed ratio over countries. Percentage heterozygotes are also indicated for each country, Values in parentheses are standard deviations over accessions

\* Some expected frequencies < 1

The alleles detected and their loci for the observed morphological traits and enzyme systems are given in Table 2. The nomenclature of *a, b, c* was used to identify alleles, with a as the fastest allele on the gel and the others named in descending order (Weeden et al. 1988). Genetic variability was described by allelic frequencies, and the proportion of polymorphic loci per population was determined as suggested by Gottlieb (1981) for each country and for each population. Tests of independence were made for each locus to detect differences among countries in allelic frequency by comparing the number of plants of different alleles from each country. Tests of independence were also made to detect variations among countries in the proportion of poly-

morphic loci per population by comparing each country's number of monomorphic and polymorphic populations.

Chi-square tests of independence were made of the allele distribution at the nine most polymorphic loci *(gs, scp, yc, Art-p, Art-m, Lap-l, Me-l, Pgm-p* and *6Pgd-p)* to ascertain if the genotypic state at one locus depends on the genotypic state at another locus. A multilocus diversity index was used to summarize multilocus genotypic diversity within and among populations as  $H_1 = 1$ -Ê  $g^2$  in which  $g_i$  is the frequency of the ith 9-locus genotype in the jth population (Garcia et al. 1989). The value of H, is zero when only one 9-locus genotype is present in a population, and values of  $H_i$  increase as the number of genotypes

increases, approaching unity when there are equally frequent genotypes in the population.

Stepwise discriminant analysis on the basis of country of origin was undertaken using the proportions of the most frequent allele at each locus as variables (Erskine et al. 1989). Characters for inclusion were selected stepwise to minimize Wilk's lambda between groups. Canonical variate analysis was then used to represent the multivariate data on orthogonal axes such that the maximum discrimination is obtained between groups, when tested against variation within groups (Seal 1964).

Three sampling methods were compared for core collection formation using all data except that of *Adh-2* for which only 353 plants were sampled. (1) Random: ten random samples of 10% of all accessions were selected and the number of alleles assessed. (2) Stratified sampling with constant allocation per geographic group: using a constant proportion of accessions per geographic group, ten samples were selected at random with five accessions each from Chile and Turkey/Greece. (3) Stratified sampling with the allocation per group proportional to group size: ten samples were selected at random with each geographic region represented proportionally by the number of accessions (Chile: 3 accessions, and Turkey/Greece: 7 accessions).

## **Results**

## *Allelic frequencies and diversity of the germplasm*

The survey of variation covered a total of 1048 plants for three loci of morphological traits, 17 known isozyme loci (Zamir and Ladizinsky 1984; Muehlbauer et al. 1989; Vaillancourt 1989) and one putative isozyme locus amylase  $(Amy)$ . Table 2 gives the allelic frequencies at each locus for the three countries and the probability  $(P)$ of significant differences between countries in allelic frequency.

*Morphological loci.* Green epicotyl *(gs)* was rare in Turkey, but common in Greece. Consequently, 83% of Greek populations were polymorphic, compared with 12% of polymorphic Turkish populations.

The incidence of red cotyledon  $(Yc)$  was highest in Turkish populations, but differences among countries in the proportion of polymorphic populations were not significant.

The locus seed coat pattern *(sep)* had four alleles, the highest number of alleles per locus among the loci investigated. Marbled seeds were common among Greek germplasm, and there were no spotted seed. In contrast, a small amount of material from Chile and Turkey had marbled and spotted seed.

*Isozyme loci.* Four loci were monomorphic, namely *Dia-1, Dia-3, Dia-4* and *Skdh.* The other 14 isozyme loci were polymorphic.

*Adh-2:* Only 353 plants were surveyed for the *Adh-2* locus. Consequently, data for this locus are presented overall and on a country basis, but not for individual populations. Striking differences were found among countries in allelic frequencies with the allele *Adh-2 a* very frequent in Greece but less frequent in Chile and Turkey.

*Amy:* Three plants from Turkey had no observable amylase activity. They bred true and were probably homozygous for a nul allele at a putative *Amy* locus.

*Aat-mb:* There was no diversity for this locus in the germplasm from Greece and Turkey, which was fixed for the fast allele (a), whereas the Chilean material contained both alleles  $(a \text{ and } b)$  with the b allele at the relatively high frequency of 0.25.

*Aat-p:* This locus was frequently polymorphic for two alleles ( $a$  and  $b$ ) in all three countries. The allelic frequencies varied over countries with the frequency of the fast allele (a) 0.92 in Chile, compared to only 0.375 in Turkey.

*Aat-m:* The fast allele (a) was most common in Greece and Turkey, but in Chile the slow form  $(b)$  was prevalent.

*Aat-c:* Chilean and Greek germplasm was monomorphic for the fast allele  $(a)$  at this locus. But the rare slow allele (b) was found in a single population among genetic resources from Turkey.

*Dia-2:* The locus *Dia-2* was variable only in Turkish lentils, where a rare fast (a) allele was recorded.

*Lap-l: Lap-1* was a highly polymorphic locus with three alleles. Alleles  $a$  and  $b$  were found at high frequencies, and a new, slow allele  $(c)$  was identified at a low frequency. Heterozygotes of *Lap-1 c* with both *Lap-i a* and Lap-1 b were found, and segregation was observed in their progeny, demonstrating Mendelian inheritance of the new allele.

*Me-l:* Two alleles were evident for this locus, both of which were widespread, but the slow allele  $(b)$  was always more common than the fast allele  $(a)$ , which reached its highest frequency in Greek germplasm.

*Me-2:* There were three alleles for the locus *Me-2,* with the fastest allele  $(a)$  predominant throughout. In Greece, all populations except one were monomorphic for this allele. A new very slow allele  $(c)$  was found from Chile and Turkey. Being relatively uncommon, the new allele was not found in hybrid combination in heterozygotes; consequently its pattern of inheritance is not yet known.

*Pgm-c:* Allele *b* was the most frequent among the three alleles found. The fast allele a occurred at a low frequency in germplasm from Chile and Turkey, and a new slow allele  $c$  was found in two Turkish populations. Because the new locus did not appear in hybrid combination, its inheritance is unknown.





*Pgm-p:* This was a frequently polymorphic locus with three alleles. The fast allele *Pgm-p a* was rarest, followed in ascending order by  $b$  and  $c$ . There were highly significant differences among countries in allelic frequencies. Heterozygotes for the new locus *(Pgm-p a)* were not seen, because it was relatively infrequent; consequently its pattern of inheritance is not yet known.

*6Pgd-p:* Both alleles at this locus showed frequencies greater than 0.4 in Turkish germplasm which was, consequently, highly polymorphic. In contrast, material from Greece was less variable, and the fast allele was predominant.

*6Pgd-c:* Most populations were fixed for the slow allele  $b$  at this locus, but in a single Chilean population two plants were found with a fast allele a for *6Pgd-c.* 

## *Diversity among and within countries and populations*

The average proportion of polymorphic loci per population was 0.19, and the range over populations was from 0 to 0.42. The average number of alleles per locus was 1.19. Overall there were no significant differences among countries in variability either in the proportion of polymorphic populations or the average number of alleles per locus over loci and populations (Table 3). However, countries differed for individual loci in the proportion of polymorphic populations. For example, Chilean material had a higher proportion of polymorphic populations than Greece and Turkey for *Aat-m* and *Aat-mb,* Greek germplasm had the most polymorphic populations for *gs*  and fewest for *6Pgd-p,* and Turkish material had the fewest polymorphic populations for *Pgm-p.* 

Discriminant analysis revealed overall that 80% of the populations were correctly classified to their country of origin and that Turkish germplasm was the most distinctive with 86% of the Turkish populations correctly classified. Greek material was the least distinct with only 65% of the populations correctly classified (Table 4). Loci, in descending order of discrimination between material of different origins, were *Aat-p, gs, Aat-m, 6Pgd-p, scp, Me-2, 6Pgd-c, Pgm-p, Me-1* and *Aat-c.* The individual populations and country centroids are plotted on the first two canonical variates in Fig. 1.

Independence tests of the genotypic state at one locus with the gentoypic state at other loci were made among

**Table** 4. Results of classification as predicted group membership from discriminant analysis of lentil populations from Chile, Greece and Turkey

Origin	No. accessions	Predicted group membership $(\%)$		
		Chile	Greece	Turkey
Chile	32	81.3	18.8	
Greece	23	26.1	65.2	8.7
Turkey	50	8.0	6.0	86.0



**Fig. 1.**  Scatter diagram of the first two canonical variable mean values for 105 populations of lentil from three countries of origin, together with the group centroids for the countries identified by abbreviations

the nine most polymorphic loci (Table 5). Of the 36 tests, 21 combinations were significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Having established the non-random distribution of alleles at different loci, the number of different multilocus genotypes per population was examined. Populations of ten plants contained between one and nine different multilocus genotypes, with an average of four. The value of the multilocus diversity index  $(H_i)$  varied from 0 in some populations, those with only a single multilocus genotype, to greater than 0.9, with an overall mean of  $H_i = 0.56$  over populations. A total of 10.2% of all sampled plants were of a single multilocus genotype. Differences among countries in the average number of multiloeus genotypes per population and the multilocus diversity index  $(H_i)$  were non-significant (Table 3). The distribution of populations

Table 5. Probabilities from ehi-square tests of independence of distribution of alleles at nine polymorphic loci within germplasm surveyed





Fig. 2. Distribution of multilocus diversity indices of accessions from Chile, Greece and Turkey expressed as a frequency (%)

by country for the multilocus diversity index is shown in Fig. 2.

## *Heterozygosity*

Co-dominance at isozyme loci allows the recognition of heterozygotes. The proportion of non-discernible outcrosses was approximately 0.1 from the multilocus estimator of Shaw et al. (1981). A total of 35 plants out of 1048 showed heterozygosity at one or more loci. Their progeny segregated, representing an overall outcrossing rate of 3.7%. Significant differences among countries in the rate of outcrossing varied from 2.2 and 2.9% in Turkish and Greek germplasm up to 6.6 % in genetic resources originating from Chile.

## *Sampling for core collections*

Ten samples of ten random accessions captured a mean total number of 27.7 alleles with a standard deviation of 4.3 from a total of 38 possible alleles. Using stratified sampling with a constant number (5) of accessions per geographic group, the mean total of 29.1 (SD = 1.8) alleles was captured. A stratified sampling procedure using a proportional number of accessions per geographic group (3 accessions from Chile and 7 accessions from

Greece/Turkey) yielded a mean total qf 29.6 alleles  $(SD=1.4)$ . Although differences between sampling methods were only significant at  $P = 0.28$ , stratified sampling appeared marginally superior to random sampling; this is in accordance with theoretical expectations (Brown 1989).

## **Discussion**

The level of outcrossing is central to the breeding system and population structure of a species. An earlier measurement of outcrossing rate in lentil from Washington, USA, showed a level of less than 0.08% based on the marker locus cotyledon colour  $(yc)$  (Wilson and Law 1972), and a second estimate gave 0.9% outcrossing from diverse germplasm grown in Syria, based on the aspartate amino-transferase plastid locus *(Aat-p)* (Skibinski et al. 1984). Although single-locus estimates of outcrossing tend to underestimate the true rate of outcrossing by failing to account for non-discernible outcrossing between similar genotypes, this does not explain the observed high outcrossing rate, based on nine loci, among Chilean germplasm of 6.6%. This is an order of magnitude above previous estimates. All of the accessions studied have been maintained in the WRPIS germplasm collection for over 20 years. Sections of the collection are regrown annually at Pullman, Washington, and the difference among countries in outcrossing rates probably reflects year to year variation (R. Hannan, personal communication). The lentil flower is normally cleistogamous, and an insect vector is required to effect cross-pollination. A search for the causal vector insect is now warranted, particularly since problems in maintaining varietal purity during seed multiplication were recently encountered in Washington.

In the only previous extensive survey of allozyme diversity in lentil germplasm, 59% of the lentil accessions were polymorphic for the aspartate aminotransferase-2 locus *(Aat-p).* A comparison with surveys of wild and cultivated barley germplasm indicates that lentil was more variable than other self-pollinated species (Skibinski et al. 1984). The locus *Aat-p* was shown in this survey to be among the most polymorphic in lentil. The earlier, high estimate polymorphism within populations was biased, being based on a single very variable locus. The revised estimate of 19% of loci polymorphic is typical of other self-pollinated species (Skibinski et al. 1984).

The history of lentil cultivation in Chile is short in comparison to its ancient cultivation in Greece and Turkey and begun only after the arrival of Columbus in the New World. However, the variation in germplasm from Chile was equal to that within the Greek and Turkish material measured as an average over loci and on a multilocus basis.

An important feature of the variability uncovered by the germplasm survey is that the genotypic state at a given locus often depends on the genotypic state at other loci. Of the 36 tests of independence of the allelic distribution at pairs of loci, a total of 21 showed significant departures from random association of alleles at pairs of loci (Table 4). Linkage tests among many of these loci have been made (see summary of Muehlbauer et al. 1989), and the only linkage between these loci is between epicotyl colour *(gs)* and aspartate aminotransferase *(Aat-p),*  explaining only one of the many associations found in this study. These results indicate a complex multilocus organization of lentil populations, with one multilocus genotype found in 10.2% of all plants. Studies on other predominantly self-pollinating species, both wild and cultivated, increasingly indicate that adaptive changes occur not only through changes in allelic frequency, but also through the reorganization of allelic ingredients into new multilocus allelic combinations adapted to specific habitats (Allard 1988; Garcia et al. 1989). These associations can develop between unlinked or loosely-linked loci in inbreeders since they are not broken up each generation by recombination. In contrast, outbreeders have a less highly structured multilocus organization.

Lentil is among the first crops domesticated (Hansen and Renfrew 1978). Its adaptation to the farming systems of dry areas is the result of centuries of natural selection. The components of this close adapation are, or should be, instructive to plant breeders trying to introduce/develop improved cultivars. There is considerable evidence from cereals and grain legumes that genetically heterogeneous populations produce more stable yields than genetically homogeneous populations (summarized in Simmonds 1979). The extent of variation within lentil landraces is clear from this and previous studies (Erskine and Choudhary 1986; Skibinski et al. 1984), but the relationships between diversity, multilocus organization and adaptation in lentil clearly merit further study.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors wish to thank the United States Department of Agriculture, Regional Plant Introduction Station at Pullman, Washington, for providing samples of lentil germ plasm, and Mrs. Debbie Tinnemore for technical assistance.

#### **References**

- Allard RW (1988) Genetic changes associated with the evolution of adaptedness in cultivated plants and their wild progenitors. J Hered 79:225-238
- Brown AHD (1989) Core collections: a practical approach to genetic resources management. Genome 31: 818- 824
- Erskine W, Choudhary M (1986) Variation between and within lentil landraces from Yemen Arab Republic. Euphytica 35:695-700
- Erskine W, Adham Y, Holly L (1989) Geographical distribution of variation in quantitative characters in a world lentil collection. Euphytica 43:97-103
- Frankel OH, Brown AHD (1984) Plant genetic resources today: a critical appraisal. In: Holden J, Williams J (eds) Crop genetic Resources: conservation and evaluation. Allen and Unwin, UK, pp 246-256
- Garcia P, Vences FJ, Peres del las Vega M, Allard RW (1989) Allelic and genotypic composition of ancestral Spanish and colonial California gene pools of *Arena barbata:* Evolutionary implications. Genetics 122:687-694
- Gottlieb LD (1981) Electrophoretic evidence and plant populations. Progress Phytochem 7:1-46
- Hansen J, Renfrew JM (1978) Palaeolithic-Neolithie seed remains at Franchthi cave, Greece. Nature 271:349-352
- Muehlbauer FJ, Weeden NF, Hoffman D (1989) Inheritance and linkage relationships of several isozyme loci in lentil *(Lens*  Miller). J Hered 80:298-303
- Seal HL (1964) Multivariate statistical analysis for biologists. Methuen, London
- Selander RK, Smith MH, Yang SY, Johnson WE, Gentry B (1971) Biochemical polymorphism and biosystematics in the genus *Peromyscus.* I. Variation in the old field mouse *(Peromyscus polionotus).* University of Texas Publication 7103, Austin, Tex., pp 49-90
- Shaw C, Prasad R (1970) Starch gel electrophoresis a compilation of recipes. Biochem Genet 4:297-320
- Shaw DV, Kahler AL, Allard RW (1981) A multilocus estimator of mating system parameters in plant populations. Proe Natl Acad Sci USA 78:1298-1302
- Simmonds NW (1979) Principles of crop improvement. Longman, London New York
- Skibinski DOL, Rasool D, Erskine W (1984) Aspartate aminotransferase allozyme variation in a germplasm collection of the domesticated lentil *(Lens culinaris).* Theor Appl Genet 68:441-448
- Soltis DE, Hanfler CH, Darrow DC, Gaston GJ (1983) Starch gel electrophoresis of ferns: A compilation of grinding buffers, gel and electrode buffers and staining schedules. Am Fern J 73:9-27
- Vaillancourt R (1989) Inheritance and linkage of morphological markers and isozymes in lentil. Ph.D. thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada
- Weeden NF (1984) Distinguishing among white seeded bean cultivars by means of allozyme genotypes. Euphytica 33:199 208
- Weeden NF, Gottlieb LD (1980) The genetics of chloroplast enzymes. J Hered 71:392-396
- Weeden NF, Zamir D, Tadmor Y (1988) Applications of isozyme analysis in pulse crops. In: Summerfield R (ed) World crops cool season food legumes. Nijhoff, Amsterdam, pp 979-987
- Wilson VE, Law AG (1972) Natural crossing in *Lens esculenta*  Moench. J Soc Hortic Sci 97:142-143
- Zamir D, Ladizinsky G (1984) Genetics of allozyme variants and linkage groups in lentil. Euphytica 33:329-336